

S.No	Clarifications - Questions	Clarification – Answers
1	Is the given content of the treaty exhaustive or are there any additions/rectifications?	Exhaustive
2.	Clarity on the following mentions of words: Pakistan, India, and Fadray?	There was one erroneous mention of each. Removed.
3.	Are both parties signatories to any convention for interpretation of treaties e.g. Vienna Convention, etc.?	Yes. Added
4.	Apart from the already framed issues, are we allowed to form additional ones?	No
5.	Issues 1 and 3 seem slightly incoherent. Are those supposed to be the same?	?
6.	In view of the fact that Dhall is a separate country altogether and the region affected by the impugned activities of Kartina is located outside the territorial limits of Kartina, in such circumstances, citizens of Dhall have no locus to claim any protection of Fundamental Rights enshrined in the Constitution of Kartina. Therefore, the 3rd issue has nothing to argue upon from the side of Dhall thus whether the third issue as given in the Moot Proposition requires any alterations or not? Constitutional claims being settled or dealt with by River Dispute Tribunals, that too when the dispute is between two countries is not the norm followed in judicial proceedings?	For the moot, we will stick to this net of facts. The application of the constitution has been added to the treaty.
7.	Where is FADRAY located?	Removed

8.	whether the 3rd issue as given in the Moot Proposition will remain the same or it will be altered after the release of clarifications?	Same
9.	In Article IV (2)(c) and (d) of the Treaty India and Pakistan is mentioned. Kindly clarify as to what would come in place of them?	Replaced
10.	In Article VII , The Interstate water dispute Act has been mentioned. Are the Amendments also to be considered for the same?	Yes
11.	All the Articles of the Treaty are not mentioned. Kindly tell us if we have to stick to these Articles only or rest of the Articles would be provided?	These are the relevant Articles, as stated.
12.	On page No 6, Article 4 (3) (c) & Article (3) (d), the countries mentioned are India and Pakistan, is this a clerical error? Kindly look into it?	Done
13	The nexus of Issue 3 with the proposition. The proposition doesn't not include a dispute of Fundamental Rights.	However, downstream countries raise issues of historic usage which evokes their fundamental rights – this will be evident to the mooters through their research on transboundary water disputes.
14	Could we get information on the country Fadray, which is mentioned in Para 8 of the proposition	Kindly review the revised proposition.